

## Data Management for Bioimaging Data and Metadata Annotation Tools

S. Kunis<sup>1</sup>, J. Lacoste<sup>2</sup>, C. Strambio-De-Castillia<sup>3</sup>, S. Weidtkamp-Peters<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Integrated Bioimaging Facility, Center for Cellular Nanoanalytics, University of Osnabrück, DE; <sup>2</sup> MIA CELLAVIE Inc., Montreal, CD; <sup>3</sup> Program in Molecular Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, MA, US; <sup>4</sup> Center for Advanced Imaging, Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, DE.

### Abstract

**Presenters** (in alphabetical order): **Susanne Kunis, Judith Lacoste, Caterina Strambio-De-Castillia, Stefanie Weidtkamp-Peters**

Authors: Tom Boissonnet, Claire Brown, Alice Kang, Susanne Kunis, Judith Lacoste, Roland Nitschke, Joel Ryan, Alex Rigano, Caterina Strambio-De-Castillia, Thomas Stroh, Stefanie Weidtkamp-Peters

To enhance the quality, interpretability, reproducibility, and re-use value of bioimaging data, it is crucial to include comprehensive descriptions of the experimental conditions used to produce them. During this workshop, you will learn about the concepts of Data Life Cycle, FAIR Research Data Management (RDM), Data Provenance, Image Metadata, and Community-driven Standards (1-2) and how they can be applied to typical light microscopy experiments. Following an initial basic introduction, the workshop will demonstrate available OMERO-compatible (3-5) image metadata annotation tools that have been developed in the context of bioimaging initiatives associated with QUAREP-LiMi (6-8). The utilization of these tools can streamline the pre-publication management process for the Experimental, Sample Preparation, and Image Acquisition phases of a standard microscopy experiment. Moreover, they can assist research scientists in complying with required research data management plans and share their image data more efficiently.

### References

1. Sarkans, U. et al. REMBI: Recommended Metadata for Biological Images - realizing the full potential of the bioimaging revolution by enabling data reuse. *Nature Methods* (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01166-8>) 18 1418–1422 (2021).
2. Hammer, M. et al. Towards community-driven metadata standards for light microscopy: tiered specifications extending the OME model. *Nature Methods* (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01327-9>) 18 1427–1440 (2021).
3. Kunis, S. et al. MDEmic: a metadata annotation tool to facilitate FAIR image data management in the bioimaging community. *Nature Methods* 18, 1415–1416 (2021).
4. Rigano, A. et al. Micro-Meta App: an interactive tool for collecting microscopy metadata based on community specifications. *Nature Methods* 18, 1489–1495 (2021).
5. Ryan, J. et al. MethodsJ2: A Software Tool to Capture and Generate Comprehensive Microscopy Methods Text and Improve Reproducibility. *Nature Methods* (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01290-5>) 18, 1414–1415 (2021).
6. Boehm, U. et al. QUAREP-LiMi: a community endeavor to advance quality assessment and reproducibility in light microscopy. *Nature Methods* (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01162-y>) 18 1423–1426 (2021).
7. Canada BioImaging. Canada BioImaging. Canada BioImaging <https://www.canadabioimaging.org> (2022).
8. Strambio-De-Castillia, C. et al. BioImaging North America (BINA): Quality Control and Data Management WG (QC-DM-WG). Bioimaging North America Site <https://www.bioimagingnorthamerica.org/qc-dm-wg/> (2019).